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#### Abstract

The general method of evaluating the temperature integral for temperature dependent frequency factors have been considered. The values of the temperature integral as evaluated by the present method are in excellent agreement with those obtained numerically.
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## Introduction

The determination of kinetic data from DTA and TG curves requires an accurate evaluation of temperature integral namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{a}}(T)=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} T^{T} \exp (-E / R T) \mathrm{d} T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of temperature integral for the case of temperature independent frequency factor has been studied extensively [1]. But the evaluation of temperature integral is also required for the case $a \neq 0$ [2], where $a$ can be positive or negative integers and half-integers House [3, 4], House and Daniel House [5] discussed the numerical evaluation of the temperature integral for different values of $a$. In the present note we have proposed a general method for analytical evaluation of temperature integral both for the positive and negative integer and half-integer values of $a$.

## Discussion

In order to determine activation energy $(E)$ from DTA and TG curves one requires the evaluation of $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ ( $T$ ) for different values of $T[6]$. If $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ is evaluated nu-
merically then for an accurate determination of $E$ the numerical evaluation is to be carried out by using small step size and by partitioning the interval $(0, T)$ into a number of subranges thereby requiring a good amount of computer time. In order to avoid this difficulty derived analytical expressions for $I_{\mathrm{a}}(T)$ have been used because these expressions require less computer time and give a reasonable accuracy [1]. Putting $u=E / R T$ the temperature integral (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a}(u)=(E / R)^{n+1} \int_{u}^{\infty} u^{-a-2} \exp (-u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by using the definition of the complementary incomplete Gamma function [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a, x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{\mathrm{a}}$ (u) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{a}}(u)=(E / R)^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(-a-1, u) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the following cases.
Case I:
$a$ is an integer greater than or equal to -1 . For this case $I_{a}(u)$ can be expressed in terms of exponential integral [4] by virtue of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{n}}(u)=u^{n-1} \Gamma(1-n, u) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}(u)=(E / R u)^{2+1} E_{n+2}(u) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of $E(u)(a \geq 2)$ can be carried out by using the recursion relation [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{n}+1}(x)=\left[\exp (-x)-x E_{\mathrm{n}}(x)\right] / n \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by starting with a rational approximation for $E_{1}(x)$ given by [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(x)=[f(x) / x g(x)] \exp (-x) \quad(1 \leq x \leq \infty) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=x^{4}+8.573329 x^{3}+18.059017 x^{2}+8.634761 x+0.267774  \tag{9}\\
& g(x)=x^{4}+9.573322 x^{3}+25.632956 x^{2}+21.099653 x+3.958497 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
E(x)=-\ln (x) & -0.577216+0.999992 x-0.249911 x^{2}+0.055200 x^{3} \\
& -0.009760 x^{4}+0.001079 x^{5} \quad(0 \leq x \leq 1) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Case II:
$a$ is a positive or negative half integer $a=m+1 / 2$, where $m$ is a positive or a negative integer including 0 . Now Eq. (4) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{m}+1 / 2}(u)=(E / R)^{m+3 / 2} \Gamma(-m-3 / 2, u) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=-2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-32}(u)=(E / R)^{-1 / 2} \Gamma(1 / 2, u) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(1 / 2, \kappa)=\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erfc}(\sqrt{\kappa}) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{erfc}(x)$ is the complementary error function [7]. For other values of $m$, $I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(u)$ can be evaluated by using backward recursion relation [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a, \kappa)=\left[\Gamma(a+1, \kappa)-\kappa^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{k}}\right] / a \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, after the evaluation of $I_{-3 n}(u)$ using $\Gamma(1 / 2, u)$ one can use the recursion relation (15) with $a=1 / 2$ to generate $\Gamma(-1 / 2, u)$ required for the evaluation of $I_{-1 / 2}(u)$ and so on.

For $x \leq 5$, we use a rational approximation [7] to $\operatorname{erfc}(x)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erfc}(x)=1-\operatorname{erf}(x)=\left(a_{1} t+a_{2} t^{2}+a_{3} t^{3}+a_{4} t^{4}+a_{5} t^{5}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(x)$ is the error function and $t=1 /(1+p x)$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=0.3275911, a_{1}=0.254829592, a_{2}=-0.284496736, a_{3}=1.421413741, \\
a_{4}=-1.453152027, a_{5}=1.061405429
\end{gathered}
$$

For $x>5$ we have used the expression [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erfc}(x)=\exp \left(-x^{2}\right)\left[1+\sum_{\mathrm{m}+1}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mathrm{m}} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \cdots(2 m-1)}{(2 x \operatorname{su2})^{\mathrm{m}}}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case III:
$a=-2$. In this case the temperature integral can be evaluated exactly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-2}(u)=(R / E) \exp (-u) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1 Comparison of numerical values of $\lg I_{2}$ with those obtained by using the present method

| T/K | $a$ | $-\log I_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $E=40 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  | $E=60 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  |
|  |  | Present | Numerical | Present | Numerical |
| 400 | -3/2 | 24.86160 | 24.86159 | 35.96360 | 35.96360 |
|  | -1 | 23.56474 | 23.56474 | 34.66538 | 34.66538 |
|  | -1/2 | 22.26784 | 22.26784 | 33.36715 | 33.36715 |
|  | 0 | 20.97091 | 20.97091 | 32.06890 | 32.06890 |
|  | 1/2 | 19.67394 | 19.67394 | 30.77062 | 30.77063 |
|  | 1 | 18.37694 | 18.37694 | 29.47234 | 29.47234 |
|  | 3/2 | 17.07989 | 17.07990 | 28.17404 | 28.17404 |
|  | 2 | 15.78282 | 15.78282 | 26.87572 | 26.87572 |
| 800 | -3/2 | 13.78781 | 13.78781 | 19.42488 | 19.42488 |
|  | -1 | 12.34436 | 12.34436 | 17.97885 | 17.97885 |
|  | -1/2 | 10.90077 | 10.90077 | 16.53274 | 16.53274 |
|  | 0 | 9.45705 | 9.45705 | 15.08657 | 15.08657 |
|  | 1/2 | 8.01321 | 8.01319 | 13.64034 | 13.64034 |
|  | 1 | 6.56922 | 6.56922 | 12.19405 | 12.19405 |
|  | $3 / 2$ | 5.12496 | 5.12511 | 10.74768 | 10.74770 |
|  | 2 | 3.68090 | 3.68089 | 9.30129 | 9.30129 |

It is to be noted that we have to use a double precision arithmetic for the accurate evaluation of the error function. We have also evaluated temperature integral numerically using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule [8, 9]. In order to achieve higher accuracy the numerical evaluation of the temperature integral has been performed by splitting the range $(0, T)$ into a number of subranges [10]. In the present case the number of subranges is equal to 4 for $T=100 \mathrm{~K}$ and equal to 8 for $T=800 \mathrm{~K}$. There is a good agreement between the values of $-\log I_{\mathrm{a}}$ computed numerically by us with those reported in the literature [3-5]. In Table 1 we have compared the values of $-\log I_{\mathrm{a}}$ evaluated analytically and numerically for $E$ values equal to 40 and $60 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and temperatures ( $T$ ) 400 and 800 K . It is observed that the values of $-\log I_{\mathrm{a}}$ are in good agreement with the numerical results.

## Conclusion

We conclude that the present method can be used for the accurate and rigorous evaluation of the temperature integral required in the determination of the kinetic data from DTA and TG curves.
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Zusammenfassung - Es wurde eine allgemeine Methode zur Auswertung des Temperaturintegrales für temperaturabhängige Frequenzfaktoren betrachtet. Die Werte für die Temperaturintegrale nach vorliegender Methode stehen in ausgezeichneter Übereinstimmung mit den numerisch erhaltenen Werten.

